UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,344	01/09/2006	Akihiko Takeuchi	049441-0141	2707
	7590 10/09/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	T NIW	MELLER, MICHAEL V		
WASHINGTO!			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1655	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/542,344	TAKEUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael V. Meller	1655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period is Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	uaust 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-17 and 24-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-16 and 24-36</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12, 17, 37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.						
Attachment/s)						
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	atent Application				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 12 and 17 in the reply filed on 4/10/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that applicant submits that Groups I and II have in common the blood pressure lowering activity of the claimed materials. This is not found persuasive because the claimed subject matter does not have a special technical feature as addressed in the restriction requirement since the method of claim 12 is known as is evidenced by the reference cited. Further, since claim 13 encompasses "prevention" this clearly does not read on a "mammal suffering from hypertension" since prevention, prevents what has to be treated, i.e. hypertension. In other words, preventing hypertension, the patient does not have to suffer from hypertension for it to read on claim 13 whereas in claim 12 the patient clearly has to suffer from hypertension. A reference that anticipates prevention does not anticipate "suffering from hypertension".

Accordingly, claims 13-16, 24-36 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to non-elected subject matter.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,344 Page 3

Art Unit: 1655

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 12, 17, 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 173741/1992 (applicants submitted this) in view of Babish et al. (US 7279185-already of record).

JP teaches that a hops extract is used to treat hypertension, see whole reference especially page 1, the claims. JP does not teach that the hops extract is specifically a isohumulone.

Babish teaches that alpha acids (such as isohumulones) are compounds isolated from hops, see col. 5, lines 5-20.

The hops extract of JP are not specifically defined as being isohumulones, but since Babish establishes that isohumulones are compounds isolated from hops then it clearly would have been within the purview of the skilled artisan to use isohumulones in the process of JP with the expectation of success since Babish establishes that

Art Unit: 1655

isohumulones are well known to be hops extracts and since Babish yields such beneficial results using such isohumulones.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 571-272-0967. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday: 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,344 Page 5

Art Unit: 1655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael V. Meller/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655